



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/280,796	03/26/1999	CECILIA CARRANZA LEWIS	SA9-98-116 6345	
75	590 03/27/2003			
NOREEN A KRALL			EXAMINER	
	AL PROPERTY LAW		VITAL, PIERRE M	
5600 COTTLE ROAD (L2PA/0142) SAN JOSE, CA 95193		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2188	17
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	46

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

54

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/280,796	LEWIS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Pierre M. Vital	2188		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2003 .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	٦.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)[] 7	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.			
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ls have been received in Appl	lication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a	The translation of the foreign language proacts	ovisional application has been	received.		
Attachment		. ,			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
I.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 16		

Art Unit: 2188

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed September 16, 2002 in response to PTO Office Action mailed April 10, 2002. The Applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and/or the specification were considered with the results that follow.
- 2. Claims 1-18 have been presented for examination in this application. In response to the last Office Action, claims 1, 5, 8 and 12 have been amended. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. As a result, claims 1-18 are now pending in this application.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C 102 (b) is respectfully maintained and reiterated below for applicant's convenience.

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell et al. (US5,813,042).

As per claims 1 and 8, Campbell discloses a buffer management system comprising a buffer pool (i.e., *storage units*) further comprised of an amount of fixed storage configured for memory paging (i.e., *paging system*) and an amount of virtual storage (i.e., *pageable*) configured for memory paging [col.6, lines 10-31]; and a buffer manager for dynamically varying the amount of fixed storage and the amount of virtual storage (i.e., *changing the state from fixed to pageable and /or back to fixed*) based on a comparison of present usage of the amount of fixed storage and the amount of virtual storage to target values (i.e., *determining the level of usage*) [col.3, line 50 – col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 32-45].

As per claims 2, 5, 9 and 12, Campbell discloses a buffer pool comprising a plurality of buffers logically partitioned into three states: fixed, pageable and released and each of the buffers in the buffer pool resides in a state comprising one of said logical partitions [col.4, lines 14-32].

Art Unit: 2188

As per claims 3, 6, 10 and 13, Campbell discloses a buffer index table comprising buffer index elements wherein each entry represents one buffer in the pool [col. 6, lines 23-28].

As per claims 4, 7, 11 and 14, Campbell discloses said buffer index elements further comprise a buffer state information field which represents the logical partition where the buffer resides and a pointer filed to the next available buffer in the same state within the buffer pool [col.6, lines 28-31].

As per claims 15-18, Campbell discloses testing whether a buffer resides in physical memory [col.6, lines 39-43].

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 24, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to the remarks, applicant(s) asserted that:

Campbell does not does not disclose or suggest the use of "target values", set by user or system administrator as to adjust buffers between fixed and virtual storage.

Examiner respectfully traverses applicant(s)'s arguments for the following reasons. Examiner would like to emphasize that in the buffer management of Campbell is similar to that claimed by applicant(s). Campbell discloses that the state of each page of the buffer changes from fixed to pageable in response to a user's request by determining the level of usage (target values) of the storage as disclosed in column 3, lines 58-60 and col. 4, lines 33-43. Thus, the target values claimed by applicant as well

Art Unit: 2188

as the level of usage of Campbell is nothing more than a threshold (i.e., level, value or point) used to determine or adjust or change the state of the buffer.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., what the target values refer to, if different than the level of usage or a threshold) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2188

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pierre M. Vital whose telephone number is (703) 306-

5839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, alternate

Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for

regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

9000.

Keginald D. Bright REGINALD G. BRAGDON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

Pierre M. Vital March 24, 2003